

## **Contemporary Diagnosis and Management of Anaphylaxis**

The 2020 and 2023 JTFPP Anaphylaxis Practice Parameters At-A-Glance

Marcus S. Shaker, MD, MSc, FAAAAI, FACAAI Professor of Medicine and Pediatrics Dartmouth Geisel School of Medicine



## Disclosures

- I serve on the Joint Task Force on Practice Parameters and the AAAAI Board of Directors, am an Associate Editor for Annals of Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology, and an Editorial Board Member for the Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology In Practice and the Journal of Food Allergy. Views expressed are my own
- I have participated in research projects that have received funding from DBV but have not received any direct or indirect funds or salary support









## Learning Objectives

- Identify recent topics addressed by anaphylaxis practice parameters
- Leverage shared decision-making (SDM) to provide the right care, at the right time, every time
- Compare and contrast diagnostic criteria for anaphylaxis
- Discuss common causes and subsets of anaphylaxis
- Incorporate anaphylaxis management strategies into practice



## **Allergy Guidelines and Practice Parameters**



www.allergyparameters.org

## **Guidelines and Best Evidence: Institute of Medicine Recommendations**

- Clear
   description of
   evidence rating
- Transparency
- Up to date

- Balanced
- Contextual
- Unbiased
- Fair
- Actionable
- Cost-effective





HERITAR OF MEDICHE



## Strength (and directional) of Recommendation



## What Recommendations Imply

	Strong	Conditional (suggest)
For patients For clinicians	Most would adopt the recommendation, but a small proportion would not	While many (and possibly most) would want to follow the course of action, this is a <u>navigational signal</u> for shared decision making
For policy makers	Can be adopted as policy in most situations	Policy making will require substantial debate and may not be appropriate

## Certainty of Evidence Adjustment



Certainty can be rated down for	Certainty can be rated up for
Risk of bias	Large magnitude of effect
Imprecision	
Inconsistency	Dose-response gradient
Indirectness	Residual confounding would
Publication bias	increase magnitude of effect

N.B. RCT's start as HIGH and observational studies start as LOW

Siemieniuk R, Guyatt G. What is GRADE? BMJ Best Practice 2019



# Recommendations must <u>also</u> incorporate and consider

- Balance between benefits/harms
- Patient values/preferences
- Resource allocation and costeffectiveness
- Equity

- Feasibility
- Acceptance
- Is the problem a priority?
- Policy Implications

- J.L. is an 18 year old woman with a history of Lyme disease and migraines who develops cough, respiratory distress, and wheezing 10 minutes after starting a dance routine during a class (3 hours after lunch fajita). Associated sx: splotchy rash on upper chest and sense of throat tightness
- EMS transport: nebulized albuterol + IV line
- Vitals: T 36.7C, O<sub>2</sub> sat 88%, HR 167, RR 35, BP 93/60 mmHg
- PE: anxious, respiratory distress with audible wheeze (inspiratory and expiratory), urticarial rash
- Labs: Acute Tryptase: 8.4; Baseline Tryptase: 6.1





### Questions:

- 1. Is this
  - anaphylaxis?
- 2. How severe?
- 3. Risk for biphasic?
- 4. How long to observe?
- 5. Switch her propranolol to another migraine therapy?
- Significance of tick born disease and fajita?
- 7. Role of tryptase in diagnosis?



## Anaphylaxis Practice Parameter Updates

Shaker MS, et al. <u>Anaphylaxis – a 2020 practice parameter update</u>, <u>and GRADE analysis</u>. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2020;145:1082-1123.

Golden DBK et al. <u>Anaphylaxis: A 2023 practice parameter update.</u> Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol (in press)



## Anaphylaxis—a 2020 practice parameter update, systematic review, and Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) analysis

**Objectives:** 

(1) To identify risk factors for biphasic anaphylaxis (to inform management, preparedness, and education), and

(2) To understand if giving patients glucocorticoids and/or antihistamines prevents anaphylaxis.

Shaker M, Wallace D, Golden DBK, et al. JACI 2020. PMID 32001253

Baseline rate of biphasic reactions ~ 4-5%

> Kraft et al. JACI IP 2020 Mills et al. JACI IP 2021



## Biphasic Anaphylaxis

## Additional Outcomes with statistically significant effect size

<b>Risk Factors</b>	Odds Ratio (CI)	Evidence Certainty	Heterogeneity
Wide pulse pressures	2.11 (1.32, 3.37)	Very low	Low
Drug as trigger in pts <18 yrs.	2.35 (0.16, 4.65)	Very low	Moderate
Unknown trigger	1.63 (1.13, 2.33)	Very low	Moderate
Cutaneous symptoms	2.54 (1.25, 5.15)	Very low	Low

Shaker M, Wallace D, Golden D, et al. Anaphylaxis – A 2020 Practice Parameter Update, Systematic Review, and GRADE Analysis. JACI 2020

## Additional Factors Analyzed Without Significant Associations

- Hx of allergy
- Hx of anaphylaxis
- Hx of asthma
- Insect trigger
- Itchy symptom
- Wheezing symptoms

- Hypotension
- Hypertension
- Food trigger
- GI symptoms
- Use of bronchodilator







School of Medicine UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO ANSCHUTZ MEDICAL CAMPUS

## ED observation of resolved anaphylaxis

 Routine prolonged observation cost \$68,411 – \$230,202 per additional case of biphasic anaphylaxis observed (1 vs 6-24 hours)



Shaker M, Wallace D, Golden D, et al. JAMA Network Open 2019; 2(10): e1913951



## Anaphylaxis—a 2020 practice parameter update, systematic review, and Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) analysis

**Objectives:** 

(1) To identify risk factors for biphasic anaphylaxis (to inform management, preparedness, and education), and

(2) To understand if giving patients glucocorticoids and/or antihistamines prevents anaphylaxis.

> Shaker M, Wallace D, Golden DBK, et al. JACI 2020. PMID 32001253

<b>N</b>		Bi	phasic	Un	iphasic		#received	#received	Weig
Author	Year	Steroids	No Steroids	Steroids	No Steroids	OR (95% CI)	Steroids/N	Steroids/N	(I-V)
Stark	1986	10	2	9	4	2.22 (0.33, 15.18)	10/12	9/13	0.46
Brady	1997	2	0	16	49	33.41 (0.33, 3362.93)	2/2	16/65	0.03
Douglas	1994	4	0	40	15	7.94 (0.09, 732.42)	4/4	40/55	0.09
Lee	2000	5	1	84	15	0.89 (0.10, 8.19)	5/6	84/99	0.51
Smit	2005	13	2	245	22	0.58 (0.12, 2.75)	13/15	245/267	1.11
Ellis	2007	7	13	46	37	0.43 (0.16, 1.20)	7/20	46/83	3.71
Jirapongsanunuruk	2007	5	0	78	18	6.05 (0.07, 542.06)	5/5	78/96	0.10
Mehr	2009	10	2	75	20	1.33 (0.27, 6.58)	10/12	75/95	0.90
Scranton	2009	1	13	6	40	0.51 (0.06, 4.66)	1/14	6/46	0.83
Lertnawapan	2011	10	3	169	26		10/13	169/195	1.56
Poachanukoon	2006	7	1	35	9	1.80 (0.20, 16.57)	7/8	35/44	0.43
Calvani	2011	0	3	25	135	0.34 (0.00, 31.34)	0/3	25/160	0.31
Lee	2013	5	4	162	443	3.42 (0.91, 12.89)	5/9	162/605	0.68
Inoue	2013	2	0	55	4	0.84 (0.01, 90.54)	2/2	55/59	0.11
Vezir	2013	3	2	36	55	2.29 (0.36, 14.40)	3/5	36/91	0.48
Brown	2013	2	ō	27	286	115.74 (1.17, 11449,7	2) 2/2	27/313	0.01
Rohacek	2014	21	4	495	12	0.13 (0.04, 0.43)	21/25	495/507	2.38
Oya	2014	5	2	98	5	0.13 (0.02, 0.83)	5/7	98/103	1.14
Michelson Hosp	2015	300	124	3651	1128	0.75 (0.60, 0.93)	300/424	365/4779	55.66
Michelson Disc	2015	86	36	3287	1643	1.19 (0.81, 1.77)	86/122	3287/4930	14.98
Grunau	2015	15	7	333	118	0.76 (0.30, 1.91)	15/22	333/451	3.15
Algurashi	2015	43	28	209	204	1.50 (0.90, 2.51)	43/71	209/413	7.73
Manuvakorn	2015	14	1	142	15	1.48 (0.18, 12.05)	14/15	142/157	0.53
Sricharoen	2015	9	i	37	0 -	0.04 (0.00, 4.95)	9/10	37/37	0.60
Guiot	2017	ź	5	164	99		2/7	164/263	1.94
Lee	2017	35	1	746	90	4.22 (0.57, 31.19)	35/36	746/836	0.55
M-H Overall (I-square	d = 68.2	%, P = 0.000	))			♦ 0.92 (0.78, 1.07)	616/871	10270/14762	100.0
D+L Overall						0.87 (0.74, 1.02)			

frequently among uniphasic

Glucocorticoids used more frequently among biphasic NT TASK FOR



### Anti H1 antihistamine

В		Bij	ohasic	Unij	phasic			Biphasic #received	Uniphasic #received	Weight
Author	Year	H1	No H1	H1	No H1		OR (95% CI)	H1/total	H1/total	(I-V)
Ellis	2007	19	1	79	4		0.96 (0.10, 9.11)	19/20	79/83	3.24
Rohacek	2014	21	4	497	10		0.11 (0.03, 0.36)	21/25	497/507	10.67
Lertnawapan	2011	11	2	180	15		0.46 (0.09, 2.26)	11/13	180/195	0.43
Smit	2005	15	0	254	13	<b>X</b>	3.95 (0.05, 336.55)	15/15	254/267	0.83
Oya	2014	7	0	102	1 .		0.42 (0.00, 51.04)	7/7	102/103	0.71
Stark	1986	10	2	12	1		0.42 (0.03, 5.30)	10/12	12/13	2.53
Guiot	2017	5	2	191	72		0.94 (0.18, 4.97)	5/7	191/263	5.93
Lee	2013	5	4	454	151		0.42 (0.11, 1.57)	5/9	454/605	9.29
Mehr	2009	8	4	57	38		1.33 (0.38, 4.74)	8/12	57/95	10.18
Alqurashi	2015	59	12	337	76		1.11 (0.57, 2.16)	59/71	337/413	36.63
Inoue	2013	2	0	51	8	<b>_</b>	<ul> <li>1.76 (0.02, 181.77)</li> </ul>	2/2	51/59	0.76
Manuyakorn	2015	15	0	150	7		3.64 (0.04, 319.62)	15/15	150/157	0.82
Ко	2015	8	1	385	21		0.44 (0.05, 3.65)	8/9	385/406	3.63
Scranton	2009	11	3	37	9		0.89 (0.21, 3.88)	11/14	37/46	7.58
Douglas	1994	4	0	52	3		1.29 (0.01, 131.39)	4/4	52/55	0.77
Sricharoen	2015	10	0	37	0		(Excluded)	10/10	37/37	0.00
I-V Overall (I-squa	red = 26.3%, P	= 0.165)				•	0.71 (0.47, 1.06)	210/245	2875/3304	100.00
D+L Overall						▲	0.71 (0.47, 1.06)			
						2 1 100				
						H1 used more frequently among uniphasic H1 used more frequently among big	bhasic			



C		Bip	ohasic	Uni	phasic			Weigh
Author	Year	H2	No H2	H2	No H2	S I	OR (95% CI)	(I-V)
Ellis	2007	4	16	25	58		0.58 (0.18, 1.91)	11.89
Lertnawapan	2011	9	4	114	81	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1.60 (0.48, 5.37)	11.50
Smit	2015	0	15	4	263		0.82 (0.01, 75.38)	0.83
Oya	2014	7	0	87	16		6.69 (0.08, 586.94)	0.84
Stark	1996	7	5	8	5		0.88 (0.18, 4.34)	6.58
Guiot	2017	2	5	100	163		0.65 (0.12, 3.42)	6.14
Alqurashi	2015	14	57	67	346		1.27 (0.67, 2.41)	41.17
Manuyakorn	2015	10	5	76	81		2.13 (0.70, 6.52)	13.51
Ko	2015	7	2	273	133		1.71 (0.35, 8.32)	6.72
Douglas	1994	0	4	9	46		0.24 (0.00, 22.43)	0.82
-V Overall (I-squa	red = 0.0%, P =	= 0.751)					1.21 (0.80, 1.83)	100.00
D+L Overall							1.21 (0.80, 1.83)	
						i		
						2 1 100		
						H2 used more frequently H2 used more among uniphasic frequently among h	inhasic	

## **Biphasic Anaphylaxis**

Question 1Recommendation 1: The guideline suggests that a clinician incorporate<br/>severity of anaphylaxis presentation and/or the administration of more<br/>than one dose of epinephrine for the treatment of initial anaphylaxis as a<br/>guide to<br/>determining a patient's risk for developing biphasic anaphylaxis

<u>Recommendation 2</u>: The guideline suggests in favor of extended clinical observation in a setting capable of managing anaphylaxis (to detect a biphasic reaction) for patients with resolved severe anaphylaxis and/or those who need more than one dose of epinephrine

Question 2Recommendation: The guideline suggests against glucocorticoids or<br/>antihistamines as an intervention to prevent biphasic anaphylaxis

Conditional Recommendations; Very Low Certainty Evidence

Shaker M, Wallace D, Golden D, et al. Anaphylaxis – A 2020 Practice Parameter Update, Systematic Review, and GRADE Analysis. JACI 2020



## **Additional Topics**

- Should antihistamines and/or glucocorticoids be used to prevent index hypersensitivity/infusion reactions to chemotherapy?
  - Conditional recommendation in favor
- Should antihistamines and/or glucocorticoids be routinely used to prevent recurrent hypersensitivity reactions to radiocontrast media?
  - Conditional recommendation against
- Should antihistamines and/or glucocorticoids be used to prevent hypersensitivity reactions to rush allergen immunotherapy or other agents?
  - Conditional recommendation in favor



## JTFPP 2020 Anaphylaxis Guideline Good Practice Statements

- 1. Administer epinephrine as the only first line pharmacotherapy for uniphasic and/or biphasic anaphylaxis.
- 2. Do not delay the administration of epinephrine for anaphylaxis, as doing so may be associated with higher morbidity and mortality.
- 3. After diagnosis and treatment of anaphylaxis, all patients should be kept under observation until symptoms have fully resolved.
- 4. All patients with anaphylaxis should receive education on anaphylaxis, including avoidance of identified triggers, presenting signs and symptoms, biphasic anaphylaxis, treatment with epinephrine, the use of epinephrine auto-injectors, and referral to an allergist.



# What about many other areas of anaphylaxis that have advanced?

- Diagnostic evaluation
- Anaphylaxis in infants and toddlers
- Anaphylaxis in community settings
- Epinephrine autoinjectors
- Beta-blockers and ACE inhibitors
- Mast cell disorders
- Peri-operative anaphylaxis



## Anaphylaxis: A 2023 Practice Parameter Update

Golden DBK, Wang J, Waserman S, Akin C, Campbell RL, Ellis AK, Greenhawt M, Lang DM, Ledford DK, Lieberman J, Oppenheimer J, Shaker MS, Wallace DV, Abrams EM, Bernstein JA, Chu DK, Horner CC, Rank MA, Stukus DR, Burrows AG, Cruickshank H.

Annals of Allergy Asthma and Immunology 2023; (in press)

48 recommendations9 strong (s)39 conditional (c)

## Anaphylaxis

- An acute, potentially lifethreatening systemic allergic reaction
- Diagnostic criteria are not perfect and fulfilling diagnostic criteria are not required for epinephrine use to treat an allergic reaction.
- Lifetime prevalence: 1.6% 5.1%

### Anaphylaxis is highly likely when any one of the following three criteria is fulfilled

Sudden onset of an illness (minutes to several hours), with involvement of the skin, mucosal tissue, or both (e.g. generalized hives, itching or flushing, swollen lips-tongue-uvula)



OR 2 Two or more of the following that occur suddenly after exposure to a *likely allergen or other trigger\** for that patient (minutes to several hours)



Reduced blood pressure (BP) after exposure to a *known allergen\*\* for that patient* (minutes to several hours)



Adults: systolic BP of less than 90 mm Hg or greater than 30% decrease from that person's baseline

Shaker M, Wallace D, Golden DBK, et al. JACI 2020. PMID 32001253 D Acute onset of an illness (minutes to several hours) with involvement of the skin, mucosal tissue, or both (e.g. generalized hives, pruritus or flushing, swollen lips-tongue-uvula)



### **A.** Airway/Breathing: Respiratory compromise.

(e.g. dyspnea, wheeze-bronchospasm, stridor, reduced PEF, hypoxemia)



and at least one of the following



**B.** Circulation: Reduced BP or associated symptoms of end-organ dysfunction.

(e.g. hypotonia [collapse], syncope, incontinence)

**C.** Other: Severe gastrointestinal symptoms.

(e.g. severe crampy abdominal pain, repetitive vomiting), especially after exposure to non-food allergens)

Cardona et al. World Allergy Journal. 2020



## **Anaphylaxis Triggers and Risks**

## Leading anaphylaxis triggers

- Adults: Medications
  - Antibiotics, NSAIDS, Immunomodulators, Biologics, Anesthetics
- Children/Adolescents: Foods
- All ages: Stinging Insects
- Idiopathic



## Risk factors for severe anaphylaxis include

- Cardiovascular disease
- Asthma
- Older age
- Co-morbid conditions
  - Mast cell disorder, betablocker use, ACEi use

Shaker M, Wallace D, Golden DBK, et al. JACI 2020. PMID 32001253



## How Often Does Seve The Cross-Car

 The 2019 Cross-Canada Anaphy anaphylaxis cases presenting to a 6-year period, enrolling 3,498

### **Anaphylaxis Severity**

Mild

Moderate

Severe

### **Note**

- The literature estimates are severity are variable, for example
  - Using, "severe enough to be hospitalized" Clark et al estimated a 22% rate of those with anaphylaxis of patients seen in the ED or hospital
- Francuzik estimated a 42% rate of severe anaphylaxis from the European Anaphylaxis Registry in children and adolescents
- Worm et al estimated a 40-70% rate of severe anaphylaxis from the European Anaphylaxis Registry with higher rates in older adults

Clark et al JACI 2014 Francuzik et al Frontiers 2019 Grabenenrich et al JACI 2016 Worm et al Allergy 2018

Gabrielli et





# Potential risk factors and co-factors for severe or fatal anaphylaxis



Drug-Induced	Food-Induced	Venom-Induced	Non-Trigger- Related
<ul> <li>Age &gt; 60 years</li> </ul>	Adolescence	<ul> <li>Older age</li> </ul>	Mast cell disorders
Cardiovascular	Uncontrolled	Male sex	<ul> <li>Infections</li> </ul>
diseases <ul> <li>Respiratory</li> </ul>	<ul><li>asthma</li><li>Alcohol</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Hereditary alpha tryptasemia</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Perimenstrual period</li> </ul>
diseases	consumption	<ul> <li>Mast cell disorders</li> </ul>	NSAIDs
<ul> <li>Antihypertensive drugs</li> </ul>	Peanut or tree-nut induced reaction	<ul> <li>Cardiovascular diseases</li> </ul>	Alcohol     Devehological
	• Exercise	• NSAIDs	burden
		Antihypertensive	• Exercise
		drugs	Unknown cause

### Read more at https://www.allergyparameters.org/

## Severity of Anaphylaxis –

PMID: 33476673

## Severity grading system for acute allergic reactions: A multidisciplinary Delphi study



Timothy E. Dribin, MD,<sup>a,b</sup> David Schnadower, MD, MPH,<sup>a,b</sup> Jonathan M. Spergel, MD, PhD,<sup>c</sup> Ronna L. Campbell, MD, PhD,<sup>d</sup> Marcus Shaker, MD, MSc,<sup>e,f</sup> Mark I. Neuman, MD, MPH,<sup>g,h</sup> Kenneth A. Michelson, MD, MPH,<sup>g,h</sup> Peter S. Capucilli, MD,<sup>i</sup> Carlos A. Camargo, Jr, MD, DrPH,<sup>i</sup> David C. Brousseau, MD, MS,<sup>k</sup> Susan A. Rudders, MD, MS,<sup>h,I</sup> Amal H. Assa'ad, MD,<sup>b,m</sup> Kimberly A. Risma, MD, PhD,<sup>b,m</sup> Mariana Castells, MD, PhD,<sup>n</sup> Lynda C. Schneider, MD,<sup>h,I</sup> Julie Wang, MD,<sup>o</sup> Juhee Lee, MD,<sup>c</sup> Rakesh D. Mistry, MD, MS,<sup>p</sup> David Vyles, DO, MS,<sup>k</sup> Michael Pistiner, MD, MMSc,<sup>q</sup> John K. Witry, MS,<sup>a</sup> Yin Zhang, MS,<sup>r</sup> and Hugh A. Sampson, MD<sup>o</sup> Cincinnati, Ohio; Philadelphia, Pa; Rochester, Minn; Hanover, NH; Boston, Mass; and Rochester and New York, NY

Dribin T, Schnadower D, Spergel J, et al. Journal of Allergy Clin Immun 2020

Severity grading system for acute allergic reactions				
Grading system application is INDEPENI	DENT of whether reactions fulfill NIAID/FAAN anaphylaxis diagnostic criteria*			
(e.g. a reaction can be eithe	er Grade 5 anaphylaxis or a Grade 5 non-anaphylactic reaction)			
Severity grades	Clinical criteria (sub-grading system)			
Life threatening allergic reactions 5 ANY Severe: Cardiovascular, Neurologic, Respiratory	Cardiovascular <sup>†</sup> MILD: Symptoms - weak, dizzy, pre-syncope, palpitations, blurred vision; Infants - tachycardia not related to other causes such as crying, discomfort, or medications MODERATE: hypotension, syncope (collapse); Infants - mottling, cyanosis SEVERE: anaphylactic shock, cardiac arrest; Infants - hypotension			
4 ANY Moderate: Cardiovascular, Neurologic, Respiratory OR Severe: Mucosal/angioedema	Neurologic <sup>†</sup> MILD: Symptoms - confusion, drowsy, sense of impending doom; Infants - persistent and unexplained irritability, inconsolability, crying, or decreased activity         MODERATE: GCS (Glasgow Comma Scale; https://www.mdcalc.com/glasgow-coma-scale-score-gcs) 13-14; Infants - lethargic         SEVERE: GCS <13, seizure; Infants - new onset hypotonia			
3 <u>ANY Mild:</u> Cardiovascular, Neurologic, Respiratory	Respiratory         General         MILD: Symptoms - chest tightness, dyspnea; Signs - new onset cough			
2 <u>2 or more Mild, ANY Moderate</u> : Skin, Gastrointestinal, Mucosal/angioedema	MODERATE: new onset persistent cough, increased WOB, hypoxemia SEVERE: respiratory failure Laryngeal			
Mild allergic reactionsANY Mild: Skin, Gastrointestinal, Mucosal/angioedema	MILD: Symptoms - throat tightness or discomfort; Signs - voice change; Infants - barky or croup like cough, hoarse cry MODERATE: stridor w/o increased WOB SEVERE: stridor with increased WOB (partial or complete upper airway obstruction) Lower airway			
<b>Terms:</b> Symptoms: patient and/or family reported symptoms, not observed by clinicians; S and/or examination findings; Infants: signs and symptoms of allergic reactions in infants at children may overlap with normal behavior. Mild/moderate respiratory, neurologic or CV s represent increased reaction severity in infants and young children. <b>Definitions</b> Hypotension: Pediatric: systolic BP < 5th percentile for age or < 2 standard deviations below normal for systolic BP < 70 mm Hg from 1 month to 1 year, < (70 mm Hg + [2 X age]) from 1 to 10 ye 90 mm Hg from 11 to 17 years. Hypotension is a late phase sign in young children; conside and other CV symptoms in infants. Do not delay management of anaphylaxis for acquisitie Adult: estimated or calculated mean arterial pressure (MAP=1/3[systolic BP]+2/3[diastolic or systolic BP < 90 mm Hg or > 30% decrease from baseline Anaphylactic shock: anaphylaxis with an IV vasopressor infusion requirement to maintain mmHg or systolic BP ≥ 90 mm Hg among adults, and age appropriate BPs among children definitions of hypotension above) Increased work of breathing (WOB): retractions, use of accessory muscles, nasal flaring of (infants), age defined tachypnea that is not brief or self-resolved Hypoxemia: SpO2 ≤ 92% on room air Respiratory failure: impaired oxygenation or ventilation requiring use of non-invasive and ventilatory support (bag mask ventilation, high flow nasal cannula, continuous positive airwis bi-level positive airway pressure, mechanical ventilation, extracorporeal membrane oxygen	igns: clinical Id youngMILD: wheezing w/o increased WOB MODERATE: wheezing with increased WOB SEVERE: bronchospasm with minimal or no air movement on auscultation AND increased WOB Mucosal/angioedema (see Figure E1 in the online repository for example images of mucosal/angioedema severity) MILD: Symptoms - mouth tingling, itchy mouth or throat, metallic taste; Signs - facial swelling, conjunctival injection, chemosis, nasal congestion, rhinorrhea, throat clearing, lip swelling, mild tongue, soft palate, and/or uvula swelling (anatomical landmarks preserved); Infants - tongue thrusting or pulling, repetitive lip, ear or eye rubbing MODERATE: drooling, moderate tongue, soft palate, and/or uvula swelling (anatomical landmarks obscured); Infants - marked increase in drooling SEVERE: severe tongue, soft palate, and/or uvula swelling (complete loss of anatomical landmarks) SEVERE: severe tongue, soft palate, and/or uvula swelling (complete loss of anatomical landmarks) SEVERE: severe tongue, soft palate, and/or uvula swelling (complete loss of anatomical landmarks) SUP) < 65; Skin Pruritus a MAP ≥ 65 (see pediatric body surface area [BSA]) MODERATE: continuous scratching, generalized scratching or excoriations (< 50% BSA) MODERATE: generalized urticaria (< 50% BSA), localized erythema (< 50% BSA) MODERATE: generalized urticaria (< 50% BSA), localized erythema (< 50% BSA) MODERATE: generalized urticaria (< 50% BSA), flushing, generalized erythema (< 50% BSA) MODERATE: somptoms - nausea, abdominal pain <sup>††</sup> ; Signs - 1-2 episodes of emesis or diarrhea; Infants - new onset spitting up, hiccups, or back archingVor invasive ray pressure, ation)MILD: Symptoms - nausea, abdominal pain <sup>††</sup> ; Signs - 1-2 episodes of emesis or diarrhea; Infants - new onset spitting up, hiccups, or back arching			

## Anaphylaxis Subsets

### TABLE II. Clinical criteria for diagnosing persistent, refractory, and biphasic anaphylaxis

Persistent anaphylaxis is highly likely when the following criterion is fulfilled\*:

Presence of symptoms and/or examination findings that fulfill the 2006 NIAID/FAAN anaphylaxis criteria that persist for at least 4 hours. *Refractory* anaphylaxis is highly likely when *both* of the following 2 criteria are fulfilled<sup>†</sup>:

1. Presence of anaphylaxis following appropriate epinephrine dosing *and* symptom-directed medical management (eg, intravenous fluid bolus for hypotension).

2. The initial reaction must be treated with 3 or more appropriate doses of epinephrine (or initiation of an intravenous epinephrine infusion).<sup>‡</sup> Biphasic anaphylaxis is highly likely when *all* of the following 4 criteria are fulfilled§:

- 1. New /or recurrent symptoms and/or examination findings must fulfill the 2006 NIAID/FAAN anaphylaxis criteria.<sup>1</sup>
- 2. Initial symptoms and/or examination findings must completely resolve before the onset of new or recurrent symptoms and/or examination findings.
- 3. There cannot be allergen reexposure before the onset of new or recurrent symptoms and/or examination findings.
- 4. New or recurrent symptoms and/or examination findings must occur within 1 to 48 hours from complete resolution of initial symptoms and/or examination findings.
  - <u>Knowledge Gap</u>: After 3 doses of epinephrine and appropriate symptom directed therapy, optimal management for refractory anaphylaxis is not well studied

Dribin T, Sampson H, Camargo C, et al. Journal of Allergy Clin Immun 2020

### **Refractory anaphylaxis**



A = Airway

**Partial upper airway obstruction/stridor:** Nebulised adrenaline (5mL of 1mg/mL)

**Total upper airway obstruction:** Expert help needed, follow difficult airway algorithm

### B = Breathing

Oxygenation is more important than intubation

#### If apnoeic:

- Bag mask ventilation
- Consider tracheal intubation

#### Severe/persistent bronchospasm:

- Nebulised salbutamol and ipratropium with oxygen
- Consider IV bolus and/or infusion of salbutamol or aminophylline
- Inhalational anaesthesia

### C = Circulation

### **Give further fluid boluses and titrate to response:** Child 10 mL/kg per bolus

- Adult 500–1000 mL per bolus • Use glucose-free crystalloid
- (e.g. Hartmann's Solution, Plasma-Lyte®) Large volumes may be required (e.g. 3–5 L in adults)

Place arterial cannula for continuous BP monitoring Establish central venous access

#### IF REFRACTORY TO ADRENALINE INFUSION

Consider adding a second vasopressor **in addition** to adrenaline infusion:

- Noradrenaline, vasopressin or metaraminol
- In patients on beta-blockers, consider glucagon

Consider extracorporeal life support

### Cardiac arrest - follow ALS ALGORITHM

- Start chest compressions early
- Use IV or IO adrenaline bolus (cardiac arrest protocol)
- Aggressive fluid resuscitation
- Consider prolonged resuscitation/extracorporeal CPR

Poussel, Deschildre, Dribin, et al. Refractory Anaphylaxis. JACI IP 2023 PMID: 37172716

<sup>1</sup>Intravenous adrenaline for anaphylaxis to be given only by experienced specialists in an appropriate setting.

## **Anaphylaxis Diagnosis: Additional Pearls**

- Anaphylaxis may be persistent, refractory, and biphasic
- Severity is a continuum
- Consider obtaining a tryptase (bST) for severe or recurrent presentations (s)
- Consider evaluation of HαT in patients with an elevated bST (8ng/ml or greater) and consider alpha-gal based on exposure history (C)
- Meeting diagnostic criteria is not required before use of epinephrine for a severe allergic reaction (C)

(s) Strong recommendation; (c) conditional recommendation



## When is Tryptase Elevated? The Evolving Tryptase Rule

- Classic evidence of mast cell activation:
  - Acute tryptase 20% plus 2ng/ml over baseline
- Validated in perioperative anaphylaxis
  - <mark>Sn 98%, Sp 44%</mark>
  - PPV 98%, NPV 44%
- Variability limits rule
  - ¼ of individuals may exceed this variability on serial measures

- <u>Alternative thresholds</u> with the ratio of acute to baseline levels
- Ratio 1.685
  - Modeled <mark>Sn 94.4%, Sp 94.4%</mark>
- High vs. Low Clinical Suspicion
  - High: 1.374
    - Modeled <mark>Sn 97.5%,</mark> Sp 76.5%
  - Low: 1.868
    - Modeled <mark>Sn 92.4%, **Sp 97.5%**</mark>

- J.L. is an 18 year old woman with a history of Lyme disease and migraines who develops cough, respiratory distress, and wheezing 10 minutes after starting a dance routine during a class (3 hours after lunch fajita). Associated sx: splotchy rash on upper chest and sense of throat tightness
- EMS transport: nebulized albuterol + IV line
- Vitals: T 36.7C, O<sub>2</sub> sat 88%, HR 167, RR 35, BP 93/60 mmHg
- PE: anxious, respiratory distress with audible wheeze (inspiratory and expiratory), urticarial rash
- Labs: Acute Tryptase: 8.4; Baseline Tryptase: 6.1



D

ů + C



National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Leading research to understand, treat, and prevent infectious, immunologic, and allergic diseases

### Total Rise In Peripheral Tryptase After Systemic Event (TRIPTASE) Calculator

Baseline Tryptase (ng/mL):		
6.1		
Acute tryptase measurement* (ng	/mL):	
8.4		
Clinical Suspicion		
Possible	•	
*Total serum tryptase measured with during an episode suggestive of a s hypersensitivity reaction.	nin 4 hours of symptom onset ystemic immediate	
Disclaimer: A failure to detect a sigr tryptase during an acute event does anaphylaxis.	ificant increase in serum not rule out the diagnosis of	
Analyze my data 5 Reset		
Classic rule	(Sn 98%; Sp	44%):
(6.1 ng/mL	* 1.2 ) + 2 =	9.32 ng/mL = <mark>NO</mark>
Ratio thres	hold of 1.68!	<b>5</b> (Sn 97.5%; Sp 97.5%):
8.4/6.1 = 1	377 = NO	

The change in total serum tryptase is NOT consistent with the clinical diagnosis of anaphylaxis.

50



- Line of Identity -- Prediction Threshold • Your Patient

This tool was developed by Translational Allergic Immunopathology Unit in collaboration with Bioinformatics and Computational Biosciences Branch (BCBB). For any questions regarding this tool, please contact Dr. Jonathan Lyons or Qinlu Wang.

Our manuscript describing the design and development of this tool can be found here.

O

Ô + Ô

NIH National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Leading research to understand, treat, and prevent infectious, immunologic, and allergic diseases

### Total Rise In Peripheral Tryptase After Systemic Event (TRIPTASE) Calculator

Acute tryptase measurement* (ng/mL):	
8.4	
Clinical Suspicion	
Less Likely	•
*Total serum tryptase measured within 4 hours of s during an episode suggestive of a systemic immed hypersensitivity reaction.	ymptom onset iate
Disclaimer: A failure to detect a significant increase tryptase during an acute event does not rule out th anaphylaxis.	in serum e diagnosis of
Analyze my data 🖸 Reset	

The change in total serum tryptase is NOT consistent with the clinical diagnosis of anaphylaxis.

00



Classic rule (Sn 98%; Sp 44%): (6.1 ng/mL \* 1.2 ) + 2 = 9.32 ng/mL = NO

**High ratio threshold** of 1.868 8.4/6.1 = 1.37 = NO

This tool was developed by Translational Allergic Immunopathology Unit in collaboration with Bioinformatics and Computational Biosciences Branch (BCBB). For any questions regarding this tool, please contact Dr. Jonathan

Lyons or Qinlu Wang.

Our manuscript describing the design and development of this tool can be found here.



### Total Rise In Peripheral Tryptase After Systemic Event (TRIPTASE) Calculator



This tool was developed by Translational Allergic Immunopathology Unit in collaboration with Bioinformatics and Computational Biosciences Branch (BCBB). For any questions regarding this tool, please contact Dr. Jonathan Lyons or Qinlu Wang.

## Infant/Toddler Anaphylaxis



- Anaphylaxis is unlikely to be the first reaction of a food or medication on initial exposure in infants (c)
- Anaphylaxis is not more severe in younger children (c)
- Food is the most likely trigger of anaphylaxis in this age range
- There are several age-specific presentations that the clinician should be aware of in infants:
  - More likely to have skin symptoms than older children
  - More likely to manifest subtle behavioral changes than older children
  - Less likely to have respiratory or subjective symptoms than older children
  - Tachycardia, coughing, vomiting all can be multi-factorial in this age range

## **Anaphylaxis in Community Settings**



- Patients at high-risk for anaphylaxis should have self-injectable epinephrine (SIE) available; SDM may be appropriate for patients at lower risk for anaphylaxis (c)
- Patient preferences can inform the number of SIE's to prescribe (c)
- Use epinephrine promptly if anaphylaxis is suspected, but pre-emptive use of epinephrine is discouraged in an asymptomatic patient, even if an allergen exposure has occurred (c)
- Emergency medical services may not be required if signs and symptoms promptly resolve with epinephrine use and do not recur (c)
- School-wide allergen bans are not recommended (c)
- Stock epinephrine programs are encouraged (c)





With the cost per death prevented reaching \$1.3B





Shaker MS, Kanaoka T, Feenan L, Greenhawt M. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2018



School of Medicine

**Reflex EMS** 









Multiplicative risk of fatality from delayed access to care

Early ED visit
 Wait and See

Early EMS activation could be cost-effective when the **fatality risk** increased 500-fold over a "wait and see" approach, combined with eventual care being required in the "wait and see" cohort 75% of the time

> Willingness to pay threshold is \$100,000 per life year saved over model horizon

Shaker MS, Kanaoka T, Feenan L, Greenhawt M. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2018

- Patients / caregivers engaged in shared decision making
- ✓ Immediate access to at least 2 epinephrine autoinjectors
- Immediate access to person(s)
   who can help
- Clear understanding of thresholds for further care
- ✓ Understanding of how to use epinephrine device

X Patient/caregiver not comfortable with home observation
X No extra epinephrine on hand
X No access to additional help
X Unsure (or unwilling) to use epinephrine
X History of near fatal anaphylaxis
X Poor adherence to recommendations

Casale TB, Wang J, Oppenheimer J, Nowak-Wegrzyn. Acute At-Home Management of Anaphylaxis: 911: What is the Emergency? J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract 2022

### **Contextual Considerations**

Home observation	Signs and symptoms that had emerged prior to epinephrine administration resolve
following first dose of epinephrine	within minutes of epinephrine administration, without recurrence. Patient is asymptomatic. Patients with scattered residual hives or other rash (including erythema), even those with newly emerging but isolated hives or erythema without other symptoms occurring after epinephrine administration may be observed at home provided no additional new symptoms develop.
Consider EMS activation and possibly second dose of epinephrine but can continue to observe at home if comfortable	Signs and symptoms that had emerged prior to epinephrine administration are improving or resolving within minutes of epinephrine administration. For example, persistence of a mild sensation of globus, nausea, coughing, or stomachache may be closely observed at home provided symptoms are improving (not worsening and are perceived to be getting better) and do not persist for longer than 10-20 minutes without any additional signs of improvement.
Activate EMS immediately, consider second dose of epinephrine, do not observe at home	Signs and symptoms that had emerged prior to epinephrine administration are not resolving. Particularly concerning symptoms would include respiratory distress, stridor, altered consciousness, cardiovascular instability, cyanosis, or incontinence not typical for their age. This would also include non-skin symptoms that fail to resolve or worsen, including but not limited to repeated (>2 total episodes of vomiting), persistent hoarseness, cough, dysphagia, wheezing, or lightheadedness.

## Beta-blockers, ACEi, and Anaphylaxis



- BB/ACEi may be continued in patients with prior stinging insect anaphylaxis, depending on medical necessity and alternative options (c)
- SDM may be used to inform BB/ACEi use in patients beginning VIT (c)
- In most cases, BB/ACEi can be continued for patients receiving maintenance VIT (c)
- If possible, use a BB/ACEi alternative when starting AIT but with maintenance AIT it is not unreasonable to continue BB/ACEi (c)
- Similar risk/benefit and SDM frameworks for planned procedures with risk of anaphylaxis and conditions that increase anaphylaxis risk (c)

## Heavy Reliance on SDM

## **BB/ACEi: High Risk Conditions/Patients**



- Some conditions are associated with greater frequency or severity of anaphylactic reactions, often at unpredictable times
  - Such as idiopathic anaphylaxis, underlying mast cell disorders, severe food allergy, or severe insect sting allergy (prior to VIT)
- Such patients should be counseled to take special measures to mitigate this risk
  - Caution regarding contributing factors (eg, alcohol, vigorous exercise, medications)
  - Increased vigilance for the earliest signs of the beginning of a reaction, and ready availability of treatment with epinephrine
- There could reasonably be increased concern in these patients for the potential risk associated with BB or ACEi



# An Interesting Perspective: Weighing Risks and Benefits

- In a Markov model of patients with heart disease (post-MI or CHF) at risk for peanut anaphylaxis who did or did not receive a beta-blocker
- Heart disease benefit of BB outweighs risk of anaphylaxis
  - BB increased life expectancy by 9.4 months (post-MI) and 17.4 months (CHF), on average

- BB preferred unless
  - Annual Risk of anaphylaxis <u>exceeded</u>
     6% (post-MI) or 15% (CHF)
  - BB increased risk of moderate to severe anaphylaxis >2.5-fold (post-MI) or > 5.8-fold (CHF)
  - Anaphylaxis case fatality <u>exceeded</u>
     6.5% post-MI
  - BB increased anaphylaxis casefatality > 25-fold post MI

## Beta blocker and ACEi: May increase anaphylaxis severity, but probably not incidence...

...and, it is difficult to distinguish between underlying CV risk and risk of BB and ACEi

- Trejador Alonso 2019
- Systematic review episodes of anaphylaxis for differences in severity (>22K) & incidence (>18K)
- Increased severity (OR 2.19 BB, 1.56 ACEi)
- No significant difference in incidence
- Odds for severe anaphylaxis was more associated with CV dz than BB or ACEi use





Severity of anaphylaxis if intake of ACEI all adjusted studies





## Mast cell disorders and anaphylaxis

- Serum tryptase alone is not a sensitive enough marker for mastocytosis (s)
- Consider a bonemarrow evaluation in patients with a predictive REMA score (c)

Vari	<b>REMA</b> score	NICAS score	
Condor	Male	+1	+1
Gender	Female	-1	-1
	Absence of urticaria and angioedema	+1	
	Presence of urticaria and/or angioedema	-2	
Clinical Symptoms During Attack	Presyncope or syncope	+3	
	Absence of angioedema		+1
	Flushing		-1
	Urticaria		+1
<	Syncope	>	+3
	< 15 ng/mL	-1	
Truntaco	>25 ng/ml	+2	
Πγρίας	< 11.4 ng/mL		-1
	> 11.4 ng/mL		+3
Allele-specific PCR	Negative		-1
(D816V)	Positive		+3

## **Peri-operative anaphylaxis**



- Skin testing to culprit agents endorsed and encouraged, although accuracy of such testing for many agents remains poorly defined (c)
- Supervised challenges can be combined with future procedures in partnership with a willing and collaborative anesthesiologist (c)

Citation	Cases of (Suspected) POA	Contactable and Confirmed POA Cases	Cases of Subsequent Anesthesia	Procedures Performed without POA	Recurrent POA
Fisher 2011	606	246	183	183	0
Guyer 2015	73	73	47	45	2
Miller 2018	174	70	70	67	3
TOTAL	853	389	300	295	5

### **POA: Repeat anesthesia following appropriate evaluation**

Total cases with recurrent POA: 5/300 (1.7%) Epinephrine is the first line pharmacotherapy for uniphasic and biphasic anaphylaxis

## Take Home Points

Anaphylaxis severity is a spectrum; most cases of anaphylaxis are non-severe but the condition can be life-threatening

Using antihistamines and/or glucocorticoids to prevent anaphylaxis is a low value practice, in general Using antihistamines and/or glucocorticoids to prevent anaphylaxis is a low value practice, in general

## Take Home Points

Strong and conditional recommendations allow a paradigm of care in which evidence can be appropriately applied to each patient within the context of individual circumstances, risk-tolerances, and preferences

In the setting of conditional recommendations, SDM can inform practice around both anaphylaxis prevention and management



## Thank You